

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/145,982	09/03/1998	TOMOHARU HASE	684.2728	6632	
5514 7:	590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER	NGUYEN, HUNG			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW TORK,	10112		2851		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
		45,982	HASE, TOMOHAF	HASE, TOMOHARU			
Office Action Summa	Exar	miner	Art Unit	)			
	Hung	g Henry V Nguyen	2851	pu			
The MAILING DATE of this co. Period for Reply	mmunication appears o	on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM  - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the  - If the period for reply specified above is less than  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three is earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In his communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within thimum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of	no event, however, may a rep he statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTI he application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
3) Since this application is in con	dition for allowance ex	cept for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>36,37,44,57,58,65 an</u>	nd 68-82 is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>36,44,57,58 and 65</u> is							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>68-82</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected							
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed oni	•	or b) objected to b	v the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that an			-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	cluding the correction is r	equired if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Examine	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None		y under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the p</li> </ol>	riority documents have	been received.					
2. Certified copies of the p	riority documents have	been received in Ap	plication No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified certified certified certified</li></ol>	opies of the priority do	cuments have been r	eceived in this National	Stage			
application from the Inte	rnational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	certified copies not re	eceived.				
AMachine and/a							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Theories Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (F10-692)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PT0 _·	O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 74-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 74, the recitation of "wherein said first aperture, said third aperture, said second aperture are disposed along the named order, with respect to a rotational direction about an optical axis of said apparatus" is ambiguous and indefinite. It is unclear how to arrange the apertures as claimed. It is not clearly whether the rotational direction about the optical axis is clockwise or counter clockwise?

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As the best claimed subject matters are understood (see rejection under 112, second paragraph, supra). Claims are anticipated by reference.

With respect to claims 74 and 77, Tanimoto et al (fig.1) discloses an exposure apparatus comprising all basic features of the instant claims including: a first separating portion for

Art Unit: 2851

separating a first space and second space from each other, the first separating portion having a first aperture (a1) and a second aperture (a2) and a second separating portion for separating the second space and a third space from each other, the second portion having a third aperture (b1) and a fourth aperture (b2) wherein "the first aperture, the third aperture, the second aperture and the fourth aperture are disposed along the named order, with respect to a rotational direction about an optical axis of the exposure apparatus (see figure 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 68-73 and 78-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto (U.S.Pat. 4,690,528) in view of Siga et al (U.S.Pat. 4,725,126).

With respect to claims 68-73 and 78-82, Tanimoto et al (fig.7) discloses an exposure apparatus comprising all basic features of the instant claims including: an optical system (201) having at least one optical element (L1-L5) and including a supporting portion (205) for supporting at least one optical element and having a plurality of apertures (211-213) through which a gas can flow; an illumination optical system (102) for illuminating a reticle (R) and a gas supply (223); the optical system comprises a plurality of spaces (a-d) where the notches of the two adjacent two lenses are placed at different positions with respect to rotational direction

Art Unit: 2851

about the optical axis of the optical system. Tanimoto does not expressly disclose the notches being formed at an end portion outside an affective light flux of light from the light source. However, this structure is well known per se. For example, Siga et al teaches a lens (11) having notches/ dents/ indentation (12) formed at the end portions outside the effective light flux of light (see col.1, lines 16-18 and figures 1-3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tanimoto and Siga et al to obtain the invention as specified in the above mentioned claims of the present application. It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to modify the lens of Tanimoto and to form notches at an end portion outside an effective light flux of light from the light source as taught by Siga et al. The at least purposes of doing so would have been to and to provide a flow passage of gas between spaces of the lenses and to reduce the optical strain of the lenses.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 36, 37, 44, 57, 58 and 65 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 75-76 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Amendment/Argument

8. Applicant's amendment filed April 12, 2004 have been entered. Claims 36, 57 have been amended. Claims 38-41, 45, 46, 59-62, 66, 67 have been cancelled. New claims 68-84 have

Art Unit: 2851

been added. In view of applicant's remarks in conjunction with the amendment, the rejection of claims 36, 37, 44, 57, 58 an 65 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is withdrawn.

Turning to the prior art rejection, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 36 and 57 have been found to be persuasive. Accordingly, claims 36, 37, 44 and 57-58 and 65 are allowed. The allowability of newly added claims 68-82 have been carefully considered but have been traversed as set forth above.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung Henry V Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

hvn 6/21/04